As of:August 04, 2015 Received:June 08, 2015 Status:Posted

Tracking No.1jz-8jb1-fwqy Comments Due:August 03, 2015 Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0002 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Papas

General Comment

This regulation is blatantly unconstitutional and a gross violation of the public trust. Not only would it do nothing to prevent actual crime but it would shut down thousands upon thousands of law abiding, law loving firearm enthusiast blogs, video channels, television, and writing commitments, generating not only a multi million dollar industry, but tax dollars as well. Moreover, it will only serve corporate interests who will pay a premium in order to go through proper channels. Bluntly, this rule censors the First Amendment while attacking the Second. If this goes through it will be challenged in court and it will be struck down at a total waste of taxpayer time and money, in the mean time setting a dangerous precedent that the written and visual opinions of US citizens are somehow goods that can be tarriffed, embargoed, and sold.

Quite frankly this impacts me because firearms are a large part of not only my job but my recreation as well. This unfairly puts another burden on an entire industry of reviewers and professional writers and experts. Simply put, the gun industry generated \$38 billion in 2014, and this rule would put an unfair burden on the industry that other massive, taxable industries do not have. I feel as though GM, Ford, and Toyota do not have to ask State Department approval before showing off their vehicle specs--but imagine that, cars have metal, oil, and gasoline in them, and so does some of our military equipment. By this logic they should be beholden to the same rules.

It is not a sustainable rule, will do nothing to prevent misconduct, and indeed, will be so overbearing that jobs and tax revenue will be lost. I urge you to reconsider and to drop this rule. Thank you.

As of:August 04, 2015 Received:June 11, 2015

Status:Posted

Category:NA

Tracking No.1jz-8jd2-fkiw Comments Due:August 03, 2015 Submission Type:API

Docket:DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0004 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Wade Brown Address:

2008 112th Court NE Blaine, MN, 55449-6110 Email: wade@dajanibrown.com Phone: 763-498-3685

Submitter's Representative: Tim Emmers

Organization: Personal Government Agency: None

General Comment

I am very concerned with the ITAR AmendmentRevisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage. that was published in the Federal Register on June 3, 2015. I feel it is unnecessary to protect national security and is very questionable restriction when the First Amendment is taken into consideration. I would appreciate it if you could assist the citizens of the United States by contacting the appropriate people at the state department and express my concern. I hope that you are against this redefinition of ITAR items, but if you are not I would appreciate hearing from you why not.

I am a firearms enthusiast who works very hard to increase the accuracy of the guns that I shoot, I do this both for the personal pleasure and for competing. Like many others I use the internet as an information source in the quest for higher accuracy, when I am having trouble with accuracy I may ask a question like "The neck tension on my 6mmBR is inconsistent while seating Berger 105 g Hybrid Bullets". The responses that follow could be considered technical data yes, but the methodologies we employ to achieve consistent neck tension are not transferable to mass production of ammunition. So I find it hard to understand how this technical data is applicable to defense items.

Before I continue I should probably give you a little sketch of my vocational experience. I have worked in the defense industry first for a small company doing research in vehicle signatures and other signature related areas. I left the small company and went to work for the largest defense contractor in the world Lockheed Martin. While at Lockheed Martin I was the subject matter expert in optics both day and night, in that role I worked with ITAR-covered data every day. In the area of night vision technical data needs to be held very closely as all of the secrets are not known to the world. Furthermore, the capabilities of our optical systems are extremely sensitive as we can't allow our foes and potential foes understand what are sensing capabilities are.

In addition to my duties as the optical SME I was the lead engineer on a small unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) product line called Desert Hawk, we sold Desert Hawk I+ and III to the British Army. As lead engineer I was working with citizens of the UK almost on a daily basis as was the rest of my engineering team, so I understand ITAR issues better than most shooters. Every aspect and detail of the Desert Hawk system that was not in the public domain was covered by ITAR regulations, for good reason because at that time there were very few UAV's flying so keeping the book closed at how we were able to build and fly the Desert Hawk UAVs was very important. I understand that leakages of sensitive information can and will cause unnecessary loss of life and assets.

Let's look ahead and assume we allow the discussion of firearm technical data on the internet to be covered by ITAR regulations why would we allow topics in computer science to be discussed on the same venues without approval from the state department? Theoretical academic discussions of computer cryptology and security are far closer to technical data that is applicable to defense systems then a few people talking about neck tension on 6mmBR cartridges. Continuing on the same path many classes in computer science taught in schools in the United States would have to be restricted to US nationals and the lectures held in a secure environment. When I taught Physics at the college level I would oftentimes use examples of firearms to clarify a point I would need to limit that discussion to secure facilities with only US nationals.

I don't know about you but I do not want to live in a society that puts such extreme limits on my freedom of speech, I thought my freedom of speech was protected by the First Amendment. It could be I was asleep when the supreme court found the First Amendment no longer constitutional, but I do not think that is the case.

Thanks, wade

As of:August 04, 2015
Received:June 09, 2015
Status:Posted
Posted:June 11, 2015
Tracking No.1jz-8jbr-725u

Comments Due:August 03, 2015 Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0008 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

This proposed ruling will negatively affect the following outside of infringing on the 1st and 2nd amendments: Employment rates, the economy, competence regarding safe handling of firearms, and good people being charged for crimes when after all this time have only been expressing their freedom of speech.

The internet will always be a place for information sharing. The majority of the firearms information that is out there is not used with criminal intent and if anything educates the community more so than ever before in regard to firearms, etc. If the information was such a threat or so criminalistic why has it not been addressed up until now?

It is hard to believe that our government is wasting their time with such matters when there is so many more important things to worry about and make positive changes towards. It is unfortunate how the government has been more and more of a let down as the years go by for me. The incompetence of those involved with the proposed ruling is embarassing, yet holds such unjustifiable power and influence.

As of:August 04, 2015 Received:June 10, 2015 Status:Posted

Submission Type:Web

Posted:June 11, 2015
Tracking No.1jz-8jcf-1nc2
Comments Due:August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0012 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name:Adam Goodman Address: 7182 Sonya Drive

Nashville, TN, 37209 **Email:** adamtgoodman@me.com

Phone: 615-305-9989

General Comment

It is my understanding that the ITAR definition is planning to be changed to include internet content such as gun reviews and all other firearms related videos. I wholeheartedly oppose any changes that would make this type of information illegal. As a lawful gun owner I look to online content to teach me about my weapons and how to use them safely. I feel that banning this type of content online is not only an attack to my Second Amendment rights, but to my First Amendment rights as well. I like to believe that I still live in a free country where I can post a review of a firearm online and enjoy firearms related content as well. I shoot pistols and rifles competitively and truly love my hobby. Just like anyone with a hobby I enjoy learning about it anyways that i can. Please don't allow this attack on our civil rights to take place.

Sincerely,

Adam Goodman, MBA 7182 Sonya Drive Nashville, TN 37209

email: adamtgoodman@me.com

PUBLIC SUBMISSION Posted: June 11, 2015 Category: NA

As of:August 04, 2015 Received:June 10, 2015

Status:Posted

Tracking No.1jz-8jcf-racs Comments Due:August 03, 2015 Submission Type:Web

Docket:DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0017 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Barton

General Comment

ITAR AmendmentRevisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage Please do not take the ITAR regulations into the realm of information control. I believe the lack of clarity regarding Technical Data, in the proposal opens the door to possible information censorship and would be an infringement of our first amendment rights. I would like to see some clarity of "Technical Data."

Opening this can of worms as far as censorship goes, on firearms, could set a bad precedence for further censorship regulation impacting all Americans.

As of:August 04, 2015
Received:June 11, 2015
Status:Posted
Posted:June 11, 2015
Tracking No.1jz-8jcs-6zdf
Comments Due:August 03, 2015
Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0025 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Albert Kelley

General Comment

The proposed revision of definitions concerning technical data on firearms are chilling to say the least. To regulate internet content concerning what are otherwise legal pursuits is an egregious violation of both the first and second amendment. While other countries may restrict their people's right to both won and discuss firearms, it is not and should not be the policy of the United States of America. Such regulations, which run the risk of restricting the natural rights of citizens, should only be considered by an elected and accountable Congress and a duly appointed court system. This is not the purview of the State Department.

As of:August 04, 2015
Received:June 10, 2015
Status:Posted
Posted:June 11, 2015
Tracking No.1jz-8jch-7tb9
Comments Due:August 03, 2015

Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0028 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name:Darren Krumwiede

General Comment

The idea that simple text and information relating to firearms should be 'controlled' the same way a large shipment of arms would be is simply ridiculous.

I cannot see how basic speech and images about a legal topic should be cause for censorship and criminal charges.

As of:August 04, 2015
Received:June 10, 2015
Status:Posted
Posted:June 11, 2015

Tracking No.1jz-8jch-3lyp Comments Due:August 03, 2015 Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0029 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Anonymous

General Comment

With the new proposal published on June 3, the State Department claims to be clarifying the rules concerning technical data posted online or otherwise released into the public domain. To the contrary, however, the proposal would institute a massive new prior restraint on free speech. This is because all such releases would require the authorization of the government before they occurred. The cumbersome and time-consuming process of obtaining such authorizations, moreover, would make online communication about certain technical aspects of firearms and ammunition essentially impossible.

Penalties for violations are severe and for each violation could include up to 20 years in prison and a fine of up to \$1 million. Civil penalties can also be assessed. Each unauthorized export, including to subsequent countries or foreign nationals, is also treated as a separate violation.

Gunsmiths, manufacturers, reloaders, and do-it-yourselfers could all find themselves muzzled under the rule and unable to distribute or obtain the information they rely on to conduct these activities. Prior restraints of the sort contemplated by this regulation are among the most disfavored regulations of speech under First Amendment case law.

This new regulation is ludicrous and very poorly worded. It allows for unprecedented violations of free speech of everyone who has discussed firearm information on the internet. Do not allow this to pass.

As of:August 04, 2015 Received:June 10, 2015 Status:Posted

Tracking No.1jz-8jcg-p4we
Comments Due:August 03, 2015
Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0032 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name:Arthur St John Address: 9803 Garrison Way

Westminster, CO, 80021
Email: art3006@outdrs.net
Phone: 303-940-1648

General Comment

I respectfully submit my opposition to the proposed revision to the ITAR Amendments. It appears the proposed definitions could be used to prosecute persons writing technical articles on sport shooting such as new developments in bullets and/or propellants or accuracy improvements to existing firearms. A citizen who writes an article regarding new techniques in loading hunting or target shooting ammunition or improving performance could be fined or jailed although the information would not impact our military. I feel this revision is ambiguous enough that the rules could be used to arbitrarily censor articles which are open knowledge and are not classified for military applications. There are adequate safeguards in place to prevent transfer of classified military technology under the "National Security Information" or "Official Use Only" rules in place.

As of:August 04, 2015
Received:June 10, 2015
Status:Posted
Posted:June 11, 2015
Tracking No.1jz-8jcg-5ptp
Comments Due:August 03, 2015

Submission Type:Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document:DOS-2015-0023-0035 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: C Giunta

General Comment

I find the recent promulgation of regulations regarding the dissemination of information on firearms ("ITAR Amendment Revisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage.") odious and most likely unconstitutional and I object strenuously.

This is in direct contravention to and in violation of multiple portions of the constitution including, but not limited to, the First and Second amendments, prior restraint, etc.

Please proceed o further in this direction.

C Giunta

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 11, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 11, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jcs-4dt2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0117 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Penning

General Comment

The Department of State issued a notice on June 3rd in the Federal Register that they wanted to make some changes to the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) the documents that restrict what gear, tech, and info can be exported outside the United States. The focus of these changes isnt on the hardware itself, but instead trying to lock down the information. The proposed changes seem to be pointed squarely at online exchanges which include technical specifications (gun reviews), schematics (3D printing diagrams), and training (RFs beloved Self Defense Tip series, and other articles). It seems like a pretty blatant attack on the First Amendment as a workaround to stifle the Second, to me.Gun reviews and other associated postings should be exempt from the regulations. Simply posting the caliber of a firearm online could be considered ITAR restricted technical data and incur millions of dollars in fines. Its all down to how they implement the changes, and whos got their finger on the button. I have to wonder if the individuals writing this type of orders are on drugs. This is nothing short of a violation of the First Amendment and is ultimately illegal. This is a punch in the face of the American people. I am sorry, but I must fight this. This is wrong, and I have not a thing more to say.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 10, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 11, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jcf-de9w Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0171 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: John Hughes

General Comment

"ITAR Amendment Revisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage."

The proposed changes requiring permission from the government to post information about firearms is a gross overreach of the executive branch. This is an infringement on my First Amendment right to free speech as well as my 2nd Amendment right to bear arms. Technical specifications are needed in order to make an informed decision on which arms to purchase and how to use them.

I also believe this is an attempt to shut down access to 3D CAD designs for weapons, an art in its infancy.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 09, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jbz-56sq **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0298 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

The new ITAR regulations that Obama is attempting to impose are not in the best interest of the people of the United States of America. In reading the proposed regulations and analyzing the information I come to realize they are making an attempt to mute, stifle, and strip us of our basic rights. Not only that, they are attempting to cripple any industry who attempts to securely transmit data inside and outside the borders of the United States of America. This will increase the overhead cost of almost every company that is required to use 3D programs and/or encrypt any type of data.

These attempts are getting over bearing and repetitive. They must be stopped. Do not expect us, the citizens of the United States of America, to ignore these obvious attempts in removing our God given rights. They wrote the Constitution of United States to withstand time and any who oppose it.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 10, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jck-1uty **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0421 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Hello, thank you for your time. I just would to voice my opinion on ITAR. Ever since i kid i wanted to work in the gun industry and make a difference in it. When i became of age i started watching this potentially banned content. This content allowed me to further my knowledge by light years, and pushing me into the direction I want my life going. By banning this not only is it a huge loss to our community (whole reason its trying to be passed) but its a violation of our first and second amendments. I understand you can not just go after our guns in general and you have to do it in more subtle way, until our rights are all gone. Its not right for someone to tell law bidding citizens what they can and cant do. And as far as the 3D printed guns... Its just not right, you cant just print assemble and shoot. It takes many hours and many skills to get it to work. And even the people that came up with this idea can barely get them to work. And if you have the knowledge to do it. You wont. You will take a solid piece of alloy stock and machine it. And by BATF rules that is 100% legal to manufacture a fire arm as long as you have no intentions to sell it. This bill is a huge infringement on all of our rights. I would like to hear a valid statement on what they think this will do to protect our safety. I feel like all these new bills are just chisels to our second amendment. All of them have the huge flaws and holes where they can be proven will help nothing. How would these new "laws" make our founding fathers feel? Here is an idea, Make the unlawful possession of firearms a bigger deal. Thats just my two cents. Thank you for your time and would really like if you took all of our comments into consideration before passing something that will not stop crime or illegal activity.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 08, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jb1-fkwp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0509 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Jeremy Moore

General Comment

I have grave concerns about the proposed changes to current ITAR regulations, and how those changes would stifle development of new technology. By redefining export to include posting on the internet, and requiring state department review to do so, the proposed rules are essentially a prior restraint on the first amendment for both "arms" and "software that could support arms". This would have drastic implications for online communities such as Drone Code, whose online software forums and open source development could now be included by these draconian regulations. In addition to this, any videos, or forums for historic firearm collectors, hobby gunsmiths, and innovative designers starting in the firearm industry would have their main means of collaboration subject to State Department prior restraint. I understand the need to protect critical defense technology, but the rules should specifically exempt the following categories:

- 1. Hobbyist and small drone software code (regardless of whether the code could potentially be used in the future on a weaponized drone).
- 2. Historic firearm technical data (drawings, specs, assembly diagrams, CAD files, etc.).
- 3. New firearm technical data provided the firearm would be legal for ownership by the US populace. (Drawings, specs, assembly diagrams, CAD files, etc.).
- 4. Online forums and media for gunsmiths, firearm innovators/developers, drone enthusiasts, and drone developers.
- 5. Technical data of firearm accessories available to the general public.
- 6. Software that supports firearm accessories available to the general public.

Prior restraint of the first amendment should not be forced upon the inventors and hobbyists of the nation under the guise of guarding defense technology.

As of:August 04, 2015 Received:June 09, 2015

Status:Posted

Category:NA

Tracking No.1jz-8jbr-k9et Comments Due:August 03, 2015 Submission Type:Web

Docket:DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On:DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0561 Comment on DOS_FRDOC_0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

This is a complete violation to the First Amendment as guaranteed by the United States Bill Of RIGHTS. Let me reiterate: Bill of RIGHTS! This cannot and must not be allowed to pass. "shall not be infringed" should apply to all of the Bill of RIGHTS!

These back door attempts at gun control are wrong and a horrible idea in general! Admiral Isoroku Yamamoto once said "You cannot invade the mainland United States. There would be a rifle behind each blade of grass." He had a very good point! Keeping the people armed adds a good level of security against those who would do harm against us as a nation! What about all the people that the media refuses to report stories on where disaster is thwarted by people using a gun for good?! People are able to protect themselves and their families every day instead of becoming victims because of the Second Amendment! Trying to restrict firearms, particularly by trying to choke it via restricting the First Amendment, is a terrible idea and whole-fully unconstitutional!

For those who believe that more laws prevent gun related crime, look at cities & states with the strictest gun control laws. They have some of the highest gun related crime rate! Places like Wyoming and Vermont with the least amount of gun control laws have some of the lowest gun related crime rates in the entire United States!!! It doesn't take a genius to see which policy is actually working well.

The politicians who are pushing to have firearms restricted are generally the ones who either have no idea what they're talking about or are blatantly lying to the public to push their position based on emotion, fear mongering, and incorrect information. There is a YouTube Video of a senator just throwing buzz words (and giving very incorrect information namely over the rate of fire of a firearm) in effort to scare the general public into submission! If the general public were properly (accurately) informed and not led astray by biased media and dishonest / misinformed politicians, the stigma surrounding firearms wouldn't exist!

Let's address the double standard surrounding the First and Second Amendments! There was a TV anchor who brought a standard capacity 30 round magazine in Washington D.C. on network TV (Illegal) and no charges were pressed! Guess he was protected under the First Amendment maybe? Where's the justice? If anyone else got caught with a 30 round magazine in D.C., they'd be jailed and fined in an instant! What about the man who had an empty shotgun cartridge as a souvenir and got arrested for it? Why was he not protected under the Second Amendment? Where's the justice?

It's time for both sides of the political spectrum to come together and introduce / pass bipartition legislation that would make it illegal to by any means attempt to or restrict or deny any part of the Bill Of RIGHTS and also make it likewise illegal to pass any legislation with the possibility of consequences that restrict the Bill of Rights. This back-door attempt at restricting the Second Amendment by means of choking the First Amendment is a prime example of what should be outlawed!

In the wake of 3D printing technology, the Federal Government is once again trying to control the people! The Government should be working FOR the people, not AGAINST the people! If someone wishes to 3D print a firearm or firearm parts, who's to say the person can't or shouldn't? People who would break the law in the first place sure aren't going to pay any attention to new laws anyway. So suppose a bill was introduced as a compromise to require the person who wishes to print a 3D firearm to go through a background check or already have a Concealed Weapon Permit which requires a background check every 5 years in most states. That would do nothing to stop someone who is going to do it anyway and hinder the good law-abiding citizens; but it'd be enough to give the gun-grabbing politicians something to go home and brag about until they get another bee in their bonnet. "Shall not be infringed" is painfully clear in definition but to the general public, it seems that there are those in power to which the meaning isn't obvious.

In summary, any restriction on any piece or section of the Bill Of RIGHTS is unconstitutional and should cease immediately. This proposed legislation cannot be allowed to pass. Once one of rights guaranteed by the Bill of RIGHTS is taken away, the rest will fall like dominoes and we would find ourselves in a scenario not dissimilar to George Orwell's "1984"! The First Amendment and the Second Amendment has been under attack since the times of The American Revolution! Our forefathers fought and died to guarantee these rights for the American people and now we have people in power in our own Government who would try to take away these rights piece by piece!

Our country is one of liberty and justice and once we start losing either, we've lost who we are and what we stand for as a nation. I challenge you to NOT LET THIS HAPPEN!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 10, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jch-aukq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0661 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Mulholland

General Comment

Information in the public domain is public and protected under the first amendment; it is not up to unelected bureaucrats to declare what is in the public domain or not. Nor was our government, based on the US constitution, setup to give unelected bureaucrats the right to write new laws on the fly in order to avoid court sanctions (see Defense Distributed case) or the will of american citizens. Nowhere in the Constitution is the federal government given the authority to demand pre-approval of political speech prior to its public dissemination, particularity if the speech contains material taken from the public domain. This rule is nothing more than an other unlawful attempt to push anti-gun legislature on the american people by curtailing their constitutional rights. If the President wishes to pass a law banning any and all materials and data used in the fabrication of firearms by non-federal firearms licensees, then he should work with congress to create such a law, get it passed and then the president can sign it into law, that is how our government works under the U.S. Constitution. The president should not attempt to do this via unelected bureaucratic proxy.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 09, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 11, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jbr-d9ls Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-0722 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Lafe Short

Address:

362 Bellevue Way NE

Apt 224

Bellevue, WA, 98004 Email: lafeshort@yahoo.com

Phone: 3032421050

General Comment

I am opposed to the proposed changes to the ITAR AmendmentRevisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage.

The proposed changes seem to be pointed squarely at online exchanges which include technical specifications (gun reviews), schematics (3D printing diagrams), and training (RFs beloved Self Defense Tip series, and other articles). It seems like a pretty blatant attack on the First Amendment.

An expanded definition of public domain now includes the internet, as well as any mechanism where further distribution of the information is not prohibited. The government wants to require anyone who wants to post technical data to this expanded definition of the public domain (forum posts on the internet, videos on YouTube, articles on gun blogs, old-school print magazines even) to apply to the government for permission before publication. If permission is not granted, the fines could range into the millions of dollars. Posting information to the internet is now considered equivalent to exporting that information. Even if no one outside the US ever reads it.

These changes will impose an unfair burden on law-abiding Americans and they are unconstitutional.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 09, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jbv-5m2r **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1111 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Clancy McNulty-Gimbel

General Comment

I would like to comment on the current changes to the ITAR definitons of defense services, technical data, and public domain and how this relates to the distrubution of pertinent information online. The current wording of the changes to the International Traffic in Arms Regulation are such that any *Technical Detail* could range from schematics/blueprints to simple firearm caliber or disseambly, and could be completely barred from the internet without specific permission. Infromation regarding such Firearms (under 50 caliber) has been disseminated throught social media and the internet for years and has posed no threat whatsoever to public safety or military/governmental weapons technology. Redefining these laws and interpretations will protect no governmental secrets, and will infrigment upon the first ammendment. Small arms *Technical Details* are overwhelmingly not CAD files, or specific schematics, but simple demonstrations as to firearms operation, maintenance, and overall safety. This online information is vital to safe, responsible and intelligible gun ownership in the United States, and is entirely separate from the *Technical Details* required to illegally or fraudulently engineer/produce a firearm. The issue many draw from these changes is the ambiguity in the wording of the law. Restriction of 3D, CAD, and comprehensively intregal documents required for the production of military/governmental firearms is not debated, it is the vague nature in which the law is reworded that is concerning. I would like to state that I am, as well as many others, are very alarmed with the new proposed rendition of ITAR. I do not believe that any modification or reinterpretation of the law is needed, and that the current alterations would infringe upon the first ammendment and provide none, if only psuedo-protection from the misuse of *Technical Details*. I sincerly hope that these changes be pulled or rewritten to better protect the free exchange of domestic information regarding the mere maintenance, safe operation and understanding of Americaned owned firearms.

Excerpt 1:

The Department proposes to revise the definition of "technical data" in ITAR § 120.10 in order to update and clarify the scope of information that may be captured within the definition.

Paragraph (a)(1) of the revised definition defines "technical data" as information "required" for the "development," "production," operation, installation, maintenance, repair, overhaul, or refurbishing of a "defense article," which harmonizes with the definition of "technology" in the EAR and the Wassenaar Arrangement. This is not a change in the scope of the definition, and additional words describing activities that were in the prior definition are included in parentheticals to assist exporters.

Excerpt 2:

Paragraph (a)(1) also sets forth a broader range of examples of formats that "technical data" may take, such as diagrams, models, formulae, tables, engineering designs and specifications, computer-aided design files, manuals or documentation, or electronic media, that may constitute "technical data." Additionally, the revised definition includes certain conforming changes intended to reflect the revised and newly added defined terms proposed elsewhere in this rule.

Excerpt 3:

The proposed revised definition also includes a note clarifying that the modification of the design of an existing item creates a new item and that the "technical data" for the modification is "technical data" for the new item.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 08, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 11, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jb7-oasy **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1133 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Micheal Horton

General Comment

I oppose this new rule, as it could be broadly interpreted to include discussions of the technical aspects of firearms that are commercially manufactured and sold in the US, as well as penalize people for discussing technical data that is either directly related to commercially available firearms or has been made available to the public by various mediums. For example, in excerpt 1 in the attached PDF, the definition of technical data is so vague that it could apply to Youtube videos showing the field stripping or modification of civilian weapons the US military has used or are the basis of civilian firearms, such as the AR-15 (which the M16 is based on) or the M249 (which has a civilian, semi-automatic version in production).

In excerpt 2, the formats for technical data include many examples of data that are relevant and vital to the civilian firearm community and those generally interested in military history, such as diagrams, models, tables, formulas, manuals/documentation, and electronic media. The firearms community and industry in particular heavy relies on this data for maintaining and improving older weapons, producing better ammunition, and creating replacement parts for out-of-production items. Also, since many military technologies eventually diffuse into the civilian market, penalizing people for publishing some of this data will be impractical and possibly illegal.

In excerpt 3, there is mention that modifying the design of an item creates technical data for a new item. In such a case, individuals and corporations could be penalized for distributing diagrams, pictures, or files of their creations, should their product be considered related to a "defense article." For example, someone could create a 3D printable hand guard for an imported rifle or shotgun, then release it on the

Internet for free so people can more easily comply with 18 USC 922R. Under this executive order, that could be considered distributing technical data on a defense article and lead to prosecution.

Care must be taken to avoid infringing on the rights of law abiding Americans when executive orders like this are crafted. In its zeal to prevent global arms trafficking, the current administration can easily turn thousands of citizens into criminals, especially when most people discussing these topics or releasing what might be considered technical data about defense items on the Internet do not know this proposed executive order EXISTS. No action should be taken until the consequences of this order, as it is currently written, are carefully studied and considered, in order to avoid harming individuals and organizations that use and disseminate information that might be caught in this executive order's umbrella.

Attachments

exec order excerpts

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 07, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jao-2x4y **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1142 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Pruss

General Comment

I am absolutely opposed to the latest ITAR AmendmentRevisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage. The proposed changes seem to be focused on online exchanges including gun reviews, schematics (including 3D printing diagrams), and training. It seems like a pretty blatant attack on the First Amendment as a workaround to stifle the Second. I will be writing my representatives regarding this proposal.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 08, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jb2-10c0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1308 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

This is nothing more than an attempt on thr part of the government to control the internet, as the prsident mentioned before. He want's to be able to "shut down" segments of the internet during periods of social unrest, Like in the arab spring. What is it about the 1st amendment and the 2nd amentment you people don't understand? I believe this was started because of the 3D printer information on building a gun. Have any of you actually looked into this or is this just knee jerk, liberal reaction? If this passes, It WILL be challenged in court as a violation of the 1st amendment. Good luck with that

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 07, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jam-lcff Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1398 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: Curtis Cook

General Comment

Dear sirs.

I have just read the text of the proposed "Revisions to Definitions" that are the subject of the proposed regulations upon which I am commenting. Totally aside from its being unwarranted prior restraint of free speech it is also exceedingly nonspecific and will likely cause many unwary and innocent citizens to commit infractions unwittingly. Depending upon the redefinitions adopted in the final rules many of the processes that advance research into this or unrelated areas become punishable infractions.

To give one example: Suppose I were to give details on replacing certain plastic parts with nonferrous metallic parts of similar configuration in a 3D printed object in an online forum, in order to improve the service life of an ultrasound emitter. Even though my intent was to reduce the costs of a medical device, are not the same principals applicable to firearms or sonar? Since this forum is unclassified and available internationally, have I now set myself up for sanctions under the new rule? If I link to an article that contains similar information have I committed an infraction? How can I know? The proposal as written does not define the answers to these questions or a host of others.

I admit that the existing rule has quite useful purpose in protecting defense technology from proliferation. But, the way the "redefinition" it is written could be misused to quash unrelated innovation or stifle political interests.

I would request that this proposal be withdrawn. If the purpose of the proposal is still found to be in the public interest then: 1) Carefully define the terms prior to resubmitting the proposal so that the people have some idea what may actually result in an infraction and 2) more narrowly tailor the focus of the proposal so that it meets only the intended objective and thus would be less likely to be misused. Thank you for your consideration.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 09, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 11, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jbt-rtf6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1514 Comment on DOS FRDOC 0001-3265

Submitter Information

Name: caleb schafer

General Comment

i saw a video on youtube about a law you want to apply banning just about everything to do with firearms on the internet and its just bs. I love guns and gun videos i used to be bullied and borderline depressed and a boring individual before firearms came into my life and i just cant stand to lose it. Firearms have been in my family for generations and i have used youtube to make myself familiar with firearms so ive made friends and connect with people on a social environment this law is not going to make the world a better place or the u.s inparticular this is just stupid. Please for the good in our freedom, in the u.s, in yourselves and me dont go through with this the 3d print stuff can go though thats scary af. Thanks you for your time

-Caleb Schafer MN

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 15, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-6qmi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain: Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain: Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1632 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Philip Wadsworth

Address:

34624 Chestnut Wayne, MI, 48184 Email: pd wads@yahoo.com

Phone: 734 467-1797

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Philip Wadsworth

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-i0lv Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1633 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jamie Greene

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jamie Greene

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-vhfs Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1634 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jeff shermer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jeff Shermer

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-31c9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1635 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Tulowitzky

Address:

2902 Plantation Rd NW Concord, NC, 28027 **Email:** tulowstar45@twc.com

Phone: 9807772069

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Tim Tulowitzky

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-bsmq **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1636 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Rodrigues

Address:

1016 W. McDaniel Street

Apartment A2 Buffalo, MO, 65622 **Email:** mak91@msn.com

Phone: 4172885588

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joseph Rodrigues 1016 W. McDaniel St. Apt.A2 Buffalo, MO. 65622

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-sar7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1637 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: NW Kec

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-3mtx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1638 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tommy Salem

Address:

801 County Rd 403 Marble Falls, TX, 78654

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Tommy Salem 801 County Rd 403 Marble Falls, TX 78654

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-rmq3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1639 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Jones

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mike A. Jones

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-6eie Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1640 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-y014 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1641 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Marty Scott

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-u7g6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1642 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Collins

Address:

8806 Smoketree Cove Austin, TX, 78735

Email: richarddeecollins@gmail.com

Phone: 5124967606

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-7be3
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1643 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Hurst

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

John J Hurst

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-cidh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1644 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, John

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-5g70 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1645 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Dungan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Edward Dungan Boise, ID

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-4yj4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1647 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Figarsky Address: 8 Warblers CV Little Rock, AR,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-vlvc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1648 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Norbert Bybee

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-yrfm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1649 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Nothdurft

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Eric Nothdurft

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-7c6m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1650 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Pro 1st & 2nd Amendment Citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-bfha Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1651 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Retter

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 15, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-blza Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1652 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Smith

Address:

258 Mt Hope ave Bangor, ME, 04401

Email: Marksmith477@vmail.com

Phone: 207-949-1685

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mark Smith

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-tf6d Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1653 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bob and Dana Kern Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Bob and Dana Kern

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-evm3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1656 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lawrence Eng

Address:

504 Arnon Ridge Ct GREAT FALLS, 22066 Email: lawrence.eng@gmail.com

Phone: 703-728-9128

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Lawrence Eng

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-mcpp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1657 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Easter

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Bruce Easter

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 12, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jdx-3kkb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1658 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Eric Isaac

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Eric Isaac

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-9hma
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1659 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sidney Wing

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Sidney E. Wing

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-g7pe Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1660 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Redder

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language, which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet), could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, William Redder

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-1k0o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1661 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Hansen

Address: P.O. Box 24

Oxford Junction, IA, 52323 **Email:** adam h24@hotmail.com

Phone: 563-826-2503

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Adam Hansen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-9q5w Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1662 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cheryl Holiday

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-pr8p

Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1663 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Verhagen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Nick Verhagen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-8kn6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1664 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: scott courdin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, SSG Courdin

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-vgo4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1665 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerrold Pesz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jerrold Pesz

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-osi4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1666 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sean Casey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Sean C

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-eloo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1667 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Rowland

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

David M Rowland

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-3h1y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1668 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anthony Philipp

Address:

201 Foxglove Court Lincoln, CA, 95648

Email: tony.philipp@gmail.com

Phone: 916-253-3913

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Anthony C. Philipp

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-1q3z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1669 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alex Vallesteros

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Alex Vallesteros

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-ex2h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1670 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Troy Eyring

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Troy Eyring

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-57gv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1671 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tyler Lawton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-005x Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1672 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Scott Bjorke

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-n2ji **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1673 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne PRIMEAUX

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Wayne Primeaux

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-rh7s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1676 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Hilton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-km4c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1677 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randy Jenkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-hgla Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1678 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Hatzo

Address:

50 Kentucky Ave Sharpsburg, GA, 30277 **Email:** 2008hdfxd@gmail.com

Phone: 4042296526

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new r	egulations in their	entirety. V	Whether you	like it or not,	the First and
Second Amendments are still th	e law of the land!				

Sincerely,

Chris Hatzo

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-rv4b Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1679 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Welton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Matthew Welton

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-gzgt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1682 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Martin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jft-6stg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1683 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J Edward Livezey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-2082 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1685 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brent Fiedler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-tzwg **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1686 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kelley Sands

Address:

3965 Yarrow St.

Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033 **Email:** sweetiepiearts@comcast.net

Phone: 303-477-5435

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As a free American, I WILL enforce these amendments to the death as will tens of thousands of other patriotic Americans!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-506p Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1687 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dennis Murray

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-5f2v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1688 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randy Lebel

Address:

600 Spring Rd

#97

Moorpark, CA, 93021 **Email:** randy.lebel@gmail.com

Phone: 805-298-0442

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I understand that there is concern with certain groups accessing secret information, or WMD data and the like, but to attempt to punish the just for the actions of the unjust and to try and throttle our Constitutional right is wrong.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This

means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-fl0u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1690 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: April Ford

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-4n7n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1691 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Langston

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-j4fh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1692 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-cbin **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1693 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Peter Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

This is nothing but another attack on the "First Amendment"...Freedom of Speech.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-9and Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1694 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Tresco

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-u50c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1695 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Widmar

General Comment

Dear State Department Arms Control Regulators,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. Any re-write of gun-related speech on the Internet is a violation of the First and Second Amendments

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. Sportsmen and gun enthusiasts could be punished for merely sharing technical info and/or their passion for hunting or firearms collecting with like-minded folks.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety as it violates the First and Second Amendments!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-rspw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1696 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William James

Address:

63 Windermere Blvd Amherst, NY, 14226 **Email:** wjames63@gmail.com

Phone: 7168326529

General Comment

To The United States State Department, particularly the Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC):

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gunrelated speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

Under these new regulations and revisions electronically posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration, or future administrations, as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license to do so. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of our land!

Sincerely,

William James Amherst, NY

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-lqb7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1697 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Vanessia Oden

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. How can hunters and firearm enthusiasts troubleshoot firearm function issues or share their common interests if they can't chat about it on the Internet?

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-hv9o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1698 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Munroe

Address:

Mansfield, OH, 44903

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-289x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1699 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-v5tb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1701 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Arron Thompson

Address:

5018 N. Lincoln Spokane, WA, 99205 **Email:** fi62 1989@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-4e6h **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1702 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Beau Nichols

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Beau Nichols 3240 Willow Rd Springfield, OH 45502

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-7k76 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1703 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Karl Lerchenfeld

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-j8sl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1704 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Howard

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-cme3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1705 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Evans

Address:

PO Box 1442 Keene, NH, 03431

Email: attorneyevans@injury-rights.com

Phone: 603-352-2347

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-vagx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1706 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Williams

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfs-794e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1707 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jacob F

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-wkz0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1708 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-7w56 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1709 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-az95 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1711 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Robinson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-j1u4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1712 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-41qw **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1713 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-dgyf
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1714 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: K W Hipps

Address:

18351 SR 194

Pullman, WA, 99163 **Email:** KHipps@aol.com **Phone:** 5093353033

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-5br3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1715 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-irx5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1716 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

These changes in arms control regulations are ridiculous and unconstitutional. These changes would in no way protect people from arms related crimes or injury, and serve only to take away the rights of the American People.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-ygg1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1717 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-1jps **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1719 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Mackey

Address:

Westminster, CO, 80031

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR).

I feel this could grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. Therefore, posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it looks to be an effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land and these regulations are defying them!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-snsz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1721 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Warren Buschman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-gwnw **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1722 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-6bud **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1726 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Hannum

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-1eqm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1727 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-zwl2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1728 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: douglas scouten

Address:

207 norwood street painted post, NY, 14870 **Email:** spoon2675@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-7bs5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1730 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Ditmore

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Please read these petitions advocating municipal environmental contraception funding, which is increasingly politically realistic due

to The Big Sort in more and more towns, and helps women's rights, quality of life, and school taxes as well as being at

least 5 times more cost-effective than any other environmental effort.

http://tinyurl.com/townBC2

http://www.feminist.org/news/newsbyte/uswirestory.asp?id=12874

http://tinyurl.com/towncontraception

http://www.nyc.gov/html/doh/html/condoms/condoms.shtml

http://www.facebook.com/alan.ditmore

https://secure.prochoiceamerica.org/site/Advocacy?cmd=display&page=UserAction&id=5319

http://nwhn.org/newsletter/node/1383

http://action.biologicaldiversity.org/p/dia/action/public/?action KEY=5999

http://tinyurl.com/opcensor

http://www.change.org/petitions/asheville-lgbt-rights-for-environment

http://www.change.org/petitions/view/transfer_all_environmental_funds_to_contraception

http://www.thepetitionsite.com/1/transfer-all-environmental-funds-to-contraception-especially-

municipal/

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/childfreetown/

http://www.thebigsort.com/maps.php

The prochoice and contraception movements are placing too high a priority on defensive actions in the red states when we should be going on the offensive, the side of "change", in the blue states, and cities. The worst places

will get even worse no matter what we do, but the unrealized political potential, the low hanging fruit, is in making the best places even better. This opportunity is being caused by The Big Sort. Mayors are not answerable to rural voters, unlike governors and presidents.

http://www.nationalpartnership.org/site/News2?

abbr=daily4 &page=NewsArticle&id=34158&security=1521&news iv ctrl=-1

http://www.nationalpartnership.org/site/News2?

abbr=daily4_&page=NewsArticle&id=27823&security=1521&news_iv_ctrl=-1

http://www.nationalpartnership.org/site/News2?

abbr=daily4 &page=NewsArticle&id=27825&security=1521&news iv ctrl=-1

http://www.nationalpartnership.org/site/News2?

abbr=daily4 &page=NewsArticle&id=32477&security=1521&news iv ctrl=-1

http://www.feminist.org/news/newsbyte/uswirestory.asp?id=12891

https://secure.ppaction.org/site/Advocacy?cmd=display&page=UserAction&id=12828

http://www.amplifyyourvoice.org/end abonly

http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=5041388

We americans love cars more than babies, Very soon we will have to choose, and we will choose cars.

http://www.projectprevention.org/

All immigration, even environmental engineers, pollutes the environment, with the possible exceptions of low fertility gay immigration and those immigrants who can prove that they are permanently sterile and childless.

http://tinyurl.com/townBC2

Gay neighbors mean lower school tax.

Public schools harm the environment by exploiting childless taxpayers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-zixm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1731 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeff Knippa

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-60de **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1732 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce Dunn

Address:

PO Box 534 Cicero, IN, 46034

Email: brucedunn@macallister.com

Phone: 317-984-6299

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-an58 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1733 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Russell Lord

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-s5ly **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1734 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nick Degerness

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfr-viih Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1735 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ricky Ford Address: 3 overlook cir

Palmyra, VA, 22963-3345 **Email:** orion1958@centurylink.net

Phone: 434-589-3879

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-vw34 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1740 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Alan Martin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-qlth Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1741 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Louis Perrotta

Address:

80 Demarest Place

Maywood, NJ, 07607-1805 **Email:** LPerrotta3rd@gmail.com

Phone: 6313741278

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-7pdl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1745 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-qfjk
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1746 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Guyer

Address:

5799 Pigeon Cove Road Needmore, 17238

Email: eguyer52@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-qiao **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1747 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 11, 8ifa

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-c445 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1749 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank T Plumberg

Address:

Tom Plumberg
Baldwin City, KS, 66006
Email: tplum@mchsi.com

Email: tplum@mchsi.com Phone: 785-691-8720

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-xcfn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1750 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Zanussi

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-zun1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1752 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sean anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-46iu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1754 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Otto Burgess

Address:

3840 lightfoot street apt 438

chantilly, VA, 20151 **Email:** otto.burgess@gmail.com

Phone: 9376094784

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-tcwa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1755 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kurtis Yearsley Ph.D.

General Comment

To Nameless unelected bureaucrat:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-47nm Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1756 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Gibbs

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-24c6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1757 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To Whom it may Concern:

The proposed changes to ITAR constitute a grave infringement of both the first and second amendment, in response to what constitutes a hypothetical problem. While 3d printed guns could possibly become a problem in the future, at present the technology isn't developed enough to present a real threat. There exist numerous better methods to produce firearms with conventional handtools and metals. The experience of child pornography regulations is also relevant here. Despite international efforts, it still remains relatively easy to access. The internet makes it so that if one wishes to access certain information, one can with enough effort. This rule change would unduly burden and criminalize hobbyists and lawful enthusiasts, while doing little or nothing to stop those that truly present a threat. As a life long democrat, I implore Mr Obama and his state department to strike this order from the record, as this is punitive censorship at its most foul.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-tpw0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1758 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lawrence Kettinger III

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-ojet Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1760 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-r8vr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1761 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andy Squires

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-g6pm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1763 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-7pnq **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1764 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam Hummer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-ig21 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1766 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Stryker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-zdac Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1767 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Allen Sanders

Organization: Gun Owner's of America Government Agency Type: State

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfq-dlqd Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1770 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Felix

Address:

626 East Ash Place Griffith, IN, 46319 Email: thomasfelix@att.net Phone: 219-923-1614

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-oobq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1771 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Jackson

Address:

525 Nokomis Rd. Lancaster, TX, 75146 **Email:** saradunn@swbell.net

Phone: 9722274560

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-7gul **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1772 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Victor Iturralde

Address:

720 Kipling St. Lakewood, CO, 80215 **Email:** vi elp@hotmail.com

Phone: 3032396535

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-u1n4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1773 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matt Lail

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-9znw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1774 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Wright

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

As an American who supported and defended our Constitution for 24 years I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Brian G. Wright

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-gzzx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1775 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-j6qh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1776 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Wilson

Address:

1154 Wellesley Green Tuscaloosa, AL, 35406

Email: bwilson@syscononline.com

Phone: 2052423628

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-fqms Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1777 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Langdon

Address:

712 Kate Street Copperas Cove, TX, Email: Wlangdon@Hot.rr.com

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-dq11 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1778 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Todd Kabella

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-1s96 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1779 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tim Pleasants

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-yfc0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1780 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-cknk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1781 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-jfvp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1782 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-m973 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1784 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Gerstenkorn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-64x3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1787 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-a114 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1789 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dean Frederick

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-sbew **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1790 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-1zm8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1792 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joshua Bell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-d3yx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1793 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Nail

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-ykl9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1794 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nancy Higgins

Address:

W3507 Cty. Hwy. B Rio, WI, 53960 9256

Email: dahlhiggins@centurytel.net

Phone: 920-992-3359

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-dlho Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1795 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frank Burnett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfp-4iib Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1796 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Toomey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jfp-017m

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1798 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jfp-hnki **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1799 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Baehr

Address:

304 W. Wetz St. Marion, TX, 78124

Email: jim.baehr825@gmail.com

Phone: 210.363.6350

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-3xge Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1800 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: S Kane

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-s620 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1802 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Zoch

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-gr0p Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1803 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Danny Cruzan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-4xo3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1804 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-82t2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1805 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-8pik Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1806 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Jaffee

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-z5co Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1807 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sally Kramar

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-v81k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1809 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tracey Lackey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-3bvv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1810 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Glosser

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-u66z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1811 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Douglas Murray

Address:

2000 Valley Springs Circle Powhatan, VA, 23139

Email: douglas.murray@comcast.net

Phone: 804-379-4767

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-4rf0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1813 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Angerhofer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Larry Angerhofer

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-eeho Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1814 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Len Langmeyer

Address: Lowell, IN,

Email: lchgroup396@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-ing2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1816 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Winstanley

Address:

101 BARBARY

WHITNEY, TX, 76692 **Email:** byrdsarms@gmail.com

Phone: 254-694-3436

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-ud4e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1818 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-hu92 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1819 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-6a2n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1821 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: rick norton Address: 2511 fm 66 waxahachie, TX, 75167

Email: razor 681@yahoo.com

Phone: 9364439431

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-d7rn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1822 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Caleb Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-h2jp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1823 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Shibler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-8t8z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1824 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rob Taylor Address: United States, Email: rtaylor@opex.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank You, Rob Taylor

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-ecjs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1825 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: MICHAEL WENCL

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-shi3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1826 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-237q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1827 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ray DeFillips

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face

significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban

3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Ray DeFillips 240 Ibis Drive Melbourne Beach, FL 32951

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-n2ey Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1828 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Concerned Citizen

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-ebuu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1829 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Barry Kirk-Greenwald

Address:

915 East Mason Avenue York, PA, 17403

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-tqpf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1830 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-quoc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1831 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Walton Starling

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfo-wau4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1832 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Simon Gruzmark

Address:

2727 Ocean Pkwy Brooklyn, NY, 11235

Email: swordbearer69@gmail.com

Phone: 347-922-5915

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-rjh1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1834 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Frisch

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-if5m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1835 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rex Hines

Address:

rxhns@yahoo.com Culpeper, VA, 22701 **Email:** rxhns@yahoo.com **Phone:** 5402293728

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Rex Hines

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-sl11 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1837 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rich Milchman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-xyi9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1838 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Pumphrey

Address:

296 Jack Thomas Drive Manchester, 37355

Email: pump2go@bellsouth.net

Phone: 931-728-4607

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant

the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet)

-- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-9yo0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1839 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-i8xh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1840 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Earl Reynolds

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-pmqh **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1841 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jerry Trout

Address:

9591 Woodland Drive Woodsboro, MD, 21798

Email: jtrout@FrederickCountyMD.gov

Phone: 301-845-4678

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfn-18e4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1843 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Noel Harris

Address:

PSC 303, Box 26 APO, CA, 96204

Email: kanagawaken@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-wlbi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1845 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Terry Compton

Address:

909 Vicksburg Belleville, IL, 62221 **Email:** terry.compton@us.af.mil

Phone: 618-256-2387

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State

Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which

includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates

this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or

ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a

government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of

the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal

these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law

of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-xamb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1846 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-xg5y Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1847 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Coleman

Address:

840 South Spring St. Burlington, NC, 27215

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-sq9a **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1848 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeff Davis

Address:

42 Guys Way Eliot, ME, 03903

Email: jdjcklprm@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-mvpy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1849 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rob V

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-g9nd **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1850 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-xuxs Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1851 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Toscino

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfm-3vcy

Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1852 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Spitzer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfl-xaho Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1854 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are the law of the land.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfl-lkxt **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1856 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: R Christofferson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jfl-8506

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1857 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: arthur baus Address:

1417 Oriole Ave. Orlando, FL, 32803 **Email:** dipfidle@aol.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfl-epbo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1858 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Cable

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfl-6bmb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1860 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew McFarland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfk-r05s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1861 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Paul Major Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfk-h3rt **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1863 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kevin Doss

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfj-v8ov Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1866 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Wittenberg

Address:

2559 Montgomery Avenue Cardiff by the Sea, CA, 92007 **Email:** wittfin@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfj-hoqv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1867 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: GREG D. Organization: GOA

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfj-zmum Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1868 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Paulson

Address:

1251 170th Ave. N.E. Bellevue, WA, 98008 **Email:** jpaulson 38@msn.com

Phone: 206 730 7900

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfg-170y **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1877 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David L Lyle

Address:

380 E Ft Lowell Rd #246 Tucson, AZ, 85705

Email: McPainterDave@Yahoo.com

Phone: 520-981-0592

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

David L. Lyle

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfg-jh8u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1879 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Morton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfg-315g **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1882 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Sorgenfrei

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfg-3itn **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1883 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Sicular

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 15, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfg-9qca Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1884 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dustin Thatcher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jff-1kno Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1887 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jff-gcco Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1893 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cosmo Stallone

Address:

482 Welton Street Harpursville, NY, 13787

Email: cosmo stallone@hotmail.com

Phone: 6076933008

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jff-188z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1894 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: T Chambers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jff-grlq

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1904 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jff-2om7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1905 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-pui7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1906 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. This could even squelch safety information that should be readily available.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-rh9f **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1907 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jay Lewis

Address:

307 N. 20th St.

Leavenworth, KS, 66048 **Email:** Travlnyank@aol.com

Phone: 913-240-5867

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-9lmp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1908 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Menz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-aa2e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1911 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gregory Straub

Address: po box 40

Greenwood Lake, NY, 10925

Email: Lonecat@aol.com Phone: 9176569218

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Gregory Straub

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-5lck Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1912 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Corbett

Address:

5801 Quiet Pine Circle Apt 102

Chester, VA, 23831 **Email:** corbett.16@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-cyio
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1913 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roy Callahan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-ml06 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1914 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Wuenschel

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfe-k0m8 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1915 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Argabrite

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Gary Argabrite 3161 Victoria Place Dr. Loganville, GA 30052 678-410-5992

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-bc1o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1918 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Francisco Trujillo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-1bre Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1919 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Smith

Address:

5036 W Daisy Way Fremont, MI, 49412 **Email:** Smilargor@gmail.com

Phone: 2319242406

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-7g5i Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1921 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-qy8t Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1922 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scotty Drye

Address: 19 L J Lane

Zebulon, NC, 27597-5857 **Email:** dryes@bellsouth.net

Phone: 9192694653

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-bs1a **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1924 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Stuby

Address:

6476 Warden Rd. New Tripoli, 18066 **Email:** ispamhate@gmail.com

Phone: 6107602306

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-m26w **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1925 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne Bradford

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-zxg4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1928 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Barrington

Address:

P.O. Box 241387

Apple Valley, MN, 55124 **Email:** barrpaul@charter.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfd-i7y0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1929 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-is0g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1934 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-jcag **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1935 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-4303 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1936 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Anderson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-owyx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1938 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-skog Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1939 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Glenn Bohmann

Address:

43075 Joy Lane Hollywood, MD, 20636

Email: glenn.bohmann@verizon.net

Phone: 301-373-3625

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-ja0k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1940 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Davis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-rgkz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1941 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Morgan Address: United States,

Email: bigjohntssg@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-iljo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1943 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jack Hansen

Address:

Eldorado, CA, 95623

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-9jrr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1945 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George&Linda Wandell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-fnmj
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1946 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Tannery

Address:

5797 Huntington Dr Ypsilanti, MI, 48197 **Email:** gftiv@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-upwx Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1947 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Frederick Hagemeyer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-339m Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1948 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Christopher Liberty

Address:

6904 Trestle Court Lorton, VA, 22079

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-e47p **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1950 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Larrabee

Address:

303 Malletts Bay Ave Winooski, VT, 05404 **Email:** machz man@yahoo.com

Phone: 8026550725

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfc-y6jb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1952 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfb-1999 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1954 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfb-ry8r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1956 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerald Frye

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfb-kife Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1961 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jeff smith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfb-doab Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1970 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: R. Holland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-lkg5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1975 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Brandwein

Address:

2443 Fern St <erced, CA, 95348

Email: brandymb@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-vv8u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1977 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Please do not reply directly to this message, as your reply will bounce back as undeliverable. Click here to share this article with Friends and Family!
Gun Owners of America
8001 Forbes Place, Suite 102
Springfield, VA 22151 703-321-8585
www.gunowners.org
Contact Form

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-hluu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1978 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shawn Tilbury

General Comment

To The Department Of State To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-yfl7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1980 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Whitlock

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-h7h4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1982 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-5lx0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1983 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-r0lf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1985 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: D.j. Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jfa-cuum Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1986 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: kenny Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf9-gal3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1989 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bruno DiGennaro

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf9-aqki Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1992 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Mitchell

Address:

8 Springmeadow Drive Carrollton, KY, 41008

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf9-wtrc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1997 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf9-yuzg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-1999 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Sugarman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf9-x6st Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2003 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Mccallie

Address:

41 valley view dr Flintstone, GA, 30725 Email: Tdmccallie@hotmail.com

Phone: 4236456946

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf9-re0k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2004 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roy Gray

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-qu2f **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2008 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom VanHook

Address:
po box 305
Lincoln, IL, 62656
Email: tvhook9@gmail.com

Phone: 2177352171

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-lrq4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2010 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-kewn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2012 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: tracy simonds

Address:

303 w. broad st clinton, TN, 37769

Email: iceetrey@yahoo.com

Phone: 865-789-5985

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1 jz-8 jf8-660y

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2013 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Bennett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-zw22 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2014 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Marsolf

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-lhyk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2015 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: anonymous anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-85nd Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2016 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JC Payne

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-fwmg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2017 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Winstanley

Address:

101 BARBARY WHITNEY, TX, 76692 Email: byrdsarms@gmail.com

Phone: 254-694-3436

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-oef5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2018 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Barnes

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-wdbu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2022 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Acheson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Richard Acheson

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-238q

Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2027 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Henry Chu

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-jh7i Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2028 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dion B

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Dion B

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-3vh9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2031 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Curnow

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Respectfully, Gary Curnow

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-044c **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2032 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Nellis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you,

Scott Nellis

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-ei2g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2033 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ralph Slider

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Ralph Slider

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf8-fu8l Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2036 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-5adt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2039 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-9cln Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2040 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jon Caltabiano

Address: 6917 Helen

GGarden City, MI, 48135 **Email:** joncaltabiano@gmail.com

Phone: 7346126260

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Jon Caltabiano

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-ghco **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2041 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Sincerely,

Dave Jones Sheboygan, WI

2015 - Gun Owners of America

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-f7nz **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2042 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Petersen

Address:

617 Parkhill Dr. Chillicothe, IL, 61523 **Email:** pete0266@yahoo.com

General Comment

.

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-gdhq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2043 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas York

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-dwgn **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2044 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lawrence Zucal

Address:

10509 Idaho St Oscoda, MI, 48750 Email: lzucal@charter.net Phone: 9897470363

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-9e95 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2050 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-qmc1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2052 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RODGER STEVISON

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-icgo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2054 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Sabin Address: 103 Wilderness Lane Williamsburg, VA, 23188

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Respectfully, James A. Sabin

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-srgn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2056 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Byron Winchell

General Comment

To Whom It May Concern:

Re: ITAR AmendmentRevisions to Definitions; Data Transmission and Storage

I must enter the strongest objection to this proposed rule that would fundamentally change the spirit and letter of these laws (International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), and the federal Arms Export Control Act (AECA).

This proposed rule change would trample our First Amendment Right to Freedom of Speech and the Freedom of the Press.

This proposed rule would also have no effect on crime, except to criminalize the actions of law abiding citizens and journalists. Information publicly accessible on the internet would now have to be secured (adequately, which is not defined in the Federal Register notification) or the journalist/private individual would be subject the Export Licensure requirements (including the penalties for not having a license: Up to 20 Years in Federal Prison, a \$1 Million fine, and potential Civil Penalties). If a criminal wants any such information that would be forbidden by this ill-conceived rule there are many publications that are freely available at any library or book store or will be accessible on servers located outside the United States.

Posting of any information via email, internet article or blog, social media sites related to firearms & ammunition, including performance, technical specifications, maintenance instructions are considered

Public Domain and not subject to ITAR. 22 CFR 120.11 Paragraph (b) gives the Federal Government the right to determine what is and is not in the Public Domain.

According to 22 CFR 120.11 Paragraph (b), I would need authorization from one of the listed agencies to publish that information on my personally owned firearms, even the results from my most recent competition/training shoot. And, as in the Defense Distributed case, the process can easily be abused with prior restraint in place until the government has acted, wherein it then would have no reason to rule in order to achieve suppression.

The Rights enumerated in the Bill of Rights are sacred to me and critical to our free and open society and may not be modified in any way unless through a change to the Constitution of the United States of America.

The Federal Government of United States of America is a government of the people, for the people, and by the people. The Executive Branch does NOT have the authority to make law that authority belongs to the United States Congress (which is also bound by the Constitution) and this proposed rule does not meet the spirit or letter of ITAR or AECA.

This proposed rule (Public Notice 9149) must not be implemented.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf7-gw7q **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2062 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-qqlo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2066 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Houle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-hnu4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2068 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: RODGER STEVISON

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

I AND ABOUT 200 MILLION OTHER AMERICANS ARE VERY TIRED OF THE STATE DEPT. TRYING TO KILL OUR CONSTITUTION

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-72qe Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2069 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Bronson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-bhuu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2076 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ruth Kabitzke

Address:

1197 Dennler Dr Alleman, IA, 50007

Email: rkabitzke@hotmail.com

Phone: 515-965-1343

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-eest Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2078 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: C S Riley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-11kr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2082 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JEFF BISHOP

Address:

428 highway 240 fayette, MO, 65248

Email: jeffbishop1964@gmail.com

Phone: 6602482729

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-5peb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2089 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Tschann

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-dd6p **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2090 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-suhn Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2091 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Iris Hooke Address:

491 Mill Lane Monterey, 24465 **Email:** ibhooke@htcnet.org **Phone:** 540-468-2375

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-dnia Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2092 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Suarez

Address:

4532 W. Kennedy Blvd Tampa, FL, 33609 **Email:** politika@rfopti.com

Phone: 9494006462

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Chris Suarez

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-sdqa

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2095 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gordon Poole

Address:

1109 Center St. Lebanon, TN, 37087 Email: gandjpoole@charter.net

Phone: 615-449-5254

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf6-bohh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2096 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Bennett

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-ozba **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2099 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Hedgpeth

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-luwy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2101 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ronald warren

Address:

36755 co hwy 34 ogema, MN, 56569

Email: whiteearth69@hotmail.com

Phone: 2189833649

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-kabh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2109 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sarge Grant

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-uk49 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2116 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-qvy7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2118 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous honest gun owner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-acx6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2119 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Zachary Gerken

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Zachary Gerken

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-k6mc Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2123 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-qx95 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2126 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf5-fryx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2130 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger Wills

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-wu9c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2132 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-bwzp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2135 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-5n1z **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2139 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ray Leporati

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-ma2k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2140 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Craig Coughlin

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-1idw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2142 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

While I see the logic in preventing the wide distribution of blueprints for 3-D firearms (which clearly present a potential safety issue at airport checkpoints, for example), I strongly oppose the proposed amendments to the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the Department of State excessive power in terms of monitoring and controlling virtually ANY gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language, which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet), could effectively place anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing a hefty prison sentence and/or significant fines. Depending on interpretation, the expanded scope could even include firearms enthusiasts sharing instructions on how to strip a Glock 17 or demonstrating how to reload a specific rifle cartridge on YouTube.

I strongly urge you to abandon the proposed amendments, which pose a clear threat to my rights under the 1st Amendment.

Thank you.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-k21e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2150 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas McNamara

Address:

35 Dunham Pond Road Greenfield Center, NY, 12833 Email: hipoint93@gmail.com

Phone: 518-469-7389

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Thomas S. McNamara

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-3f18 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2152 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-qafo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2153 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-aw6h **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2155 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Walsh

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Tom Walsh

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-r6bc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2156 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Iozzno

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-rhlr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2158 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Patsy Wright

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-qdfa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2160 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JL

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf4-780h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2164 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Corey LaSalle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-a1z5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2170 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-ausm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2173 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-1rcr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2174 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Hay Address:

20014 N. Pecos Valley Trail

Katy, TX, 77449 Email: haysiva@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration

as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-yrqg Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2177 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Adams

Address: PO Box 89

Atlantic, VA, 23303-0089 **Email:** gl1500rider@sbcglobal.net **Phone:** gl1500rider@sbcglobal.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-m3ko **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2179 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-u5xz **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2181 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-r1ay Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2183 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-4mjx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2184 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Hojnacki

Address:

3011 Ridgemont Commerce, MI, 48382 **Email:** george7626@att.net

Phone: 2483639668

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-nftm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2187 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeff Hawn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-u6a5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2188 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

R.Romero

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-pfro Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2190 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: R C Address:

PO Box 2775

Columbia Falls, MT, 59912 **Email:** robertmt@yahoo.com

Phone: 4062127655

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-hodb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2191 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chad Wyss

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 14, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-bl0s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research: Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2192 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Morgan

General Comment

I do NOT support any regulations.

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Michael Morgan Louisville, KY

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-tqgj

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2193 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Troy Bunke

Address:

3328 W. Longfellow Avenue Spokane, WA, 99205-1720 **Email:** troybunke@netzero.net

Phone: 509-327-1340

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face

significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-jwpi Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2197 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Demetrios Papadopoulos

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant

criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled

effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-nefg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2205 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: fred thebert

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-suxn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2209 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry St Denis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-eenr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2210 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: john huston

General Comment

i strongly oppose the rewrite of the state department's arms control regulations(ITAR), which could potentially grant the state department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the internet, the new language which includes "making technical data available via a publicly avaliable network(e.g. the internet) could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines so posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license this means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties. i also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-d printers i urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety whether you like it or not, the first and second amendments are the law of the land.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf3-g1us Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2215 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-w7nu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2229 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ed R.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-psv4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2230 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Perry

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments

are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-tk5k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2233 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John S.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-umhj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2234 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Dineen

Address:

382 E Bannerstone Ct Midland, MI, 48640 **Email:** xds45iwb@gmail.com

Phone: 989-832-7046

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-bntf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2240 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Fuges

Address:

3771 Swetland dr Doylestown, PA, 18902 **Email:** Scfuges@1791.com

Phone: 2674714338

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-c119 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2242 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Harold Shull

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-l7rd **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2243 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-zffm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2244 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-szkd Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2254 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Wayne DelRossi

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-ck6e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2257 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JOHN HOLOHAN

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-s77v Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2259 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Gain

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-jkj3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2264 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Goff

Address:

4306 Staunton Drive Swartz Creek, MI, 48473 Email: cldwdiver@aol.com Phone: 810-732-8848

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-x1gr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2267 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Elizabeth Walters

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-k8mj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2284 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Josh Mullens Address: United States, Phone: 8507187818

General Comment

I think changing this is a mistake. You are infringing on the rights of your own people. Freedom of speech is absolute. Thomas Jefferson said the blood of tyrants and Patriots have to be spilled to renew freedoms. Let's not let it get to that. What yall are doing is wrong following the orders of the elite. There will be a time when its to late to go back to what's right. Yall can't even handle the responsibility bestowed upon you now. Would love someone to explain to me why what you are doing is right. Because half of the stuff I watch on line will disappear. Or that I can't download cad files on how to make gun parts. Please you are wanting to start something with the gun owners in this countrt not including all the vets that you don't trust coming home from fighting yours for bullshit. Then when they get home you treat them like criminals. There is a change coming and you are pushing it hard forward

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf2-6t3k **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2286 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-z3xp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2297 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Andrew Litz

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Status: Posted
Posted: June 15, 2015
Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-fb1j
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2298 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Garten

General Comment

Mr Secretary,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR) as proposed. This potential grant to the State Department of a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet chafes the oath of office you took to support and defend the Constitution of the United States of America.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- would put anyone who emailed a friend the procedure to simply render a firearm safe in violation of this provision and in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. Posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Executive branch as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially government license. Violators face significant criminal penalties. This smacks of prior restraint of free speech and would significantly impede a citizen's right to keep and bear arms.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printing, computer aided design, computer numeric control machining, and nearly any other use of a computer where something attached to, related to, or comprising an explosive propelled projectile delivery system (gun) is supported by digital computation, word processing, digital imaging, or any number of advances available to mankind thanks to Alan Turing.

I urge you to withdraw these new proposed regulations in their entirety. It would be consistent with our oath to support and defend the Constitution which contains the First and Second Amendments. They, too, are still the law of the land.

Sincerely, David Garten

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-7l4a **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2300 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joshua Kern

Address:

708 32nd Ct. NE Rochester, MN, 55906 **Email:** audiservice@charter.net

Phone: 507-271-1841

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-d1p1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2302 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Fred Cockerham

Address:

433 County Road 143 Oakland, AR, 72661

Email: myroncockerham@wildblue.net

Phone: 501-529-6121

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Second Amendment supporters will never accept these unconstitutional "rules" and will challenge them in every way possible. Organizations like the NRA and Gun Owners of America will support our efforts to keep our freedoms.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-jtbq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2304 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Murray

Address:

3330 Midland Drive APT C108

West Haven, UT, 84401

Email: joseph_b_murrayiii@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant

criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban

3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments

are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Joseph B Murray Major USMC (ret)

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-y238 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2307 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steve Malafy

Address:

280 Centerville Harp Rd French Creek, WV, 26218 Email: smalafy@gmail.com

Phone: 13044601511

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-yj2s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2310 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Opria

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-sw44 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2314 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Leonard Hunt

Address:

201 Governor St Clark, MO, 65243

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-esj6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2316 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-vphr **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2320 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Smith

Address:

5418 Rock Quarry rd Elm City, 27822

Email: larryandcheryl@embargmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

What has happened to our government in the last few years, have you all been living in a different country? I spent 10 years in the service to protect my 1st & 2nd amendment rights, and we the people are not going to let you undermine them. Remember terms are limited and a new head will make changes to the leadership as needed.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 14, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-5e2u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2324 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Meng

Address:

2146 Chael Drive NE Solon, IA, 52333 Email: gregmeng@aim.com

Phone: 3196243393

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Regards,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-8059 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2335 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-furp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2337 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anoonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-n29w **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2338 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-98lv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2340 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gerry Travers

Address:

13123 3rd Ave nE Marysville, WA, 98271

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-d0rp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2341 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Boatright

Address:

1719 scuffletown Bristol, GA, 31518 Email: dose@accessatc.net Phone: 912-288-4442

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf1-mtia Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2342 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Ayers

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-qwfl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2343 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Reamer Address:

265 Mary Drive Chapin, SC, 29036 **Phone:** 803-767-6891

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-szoc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2346 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bill Crawford

Address:

306 N. Church St. Fayetteville, TX, 78940 **Email:** Bill77019@hotmail.com

Phone: 713-256-0735

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-xk1i **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2347 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Benjamin Sutherland

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-u1f8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2348 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-xymh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2350 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Beckwith

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-469s Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2351 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Derek Keller

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-qguu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2352 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Haendiges

Address:

10200 Buffalo Trace Rd. NW

Ramsey, IN, 47166 Email: khaendiges@gmail.com

Phone: 812-572-6383

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-cqsb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2353 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-rfq3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2354 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-qj6h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2356 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Blosch

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

William Blosch

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jf0-espl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2357 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Woodard

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-2a4d Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2358 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: F Heitman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-4ppn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2359 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Moragne

Address:

57456 Hwy. 1074 Bogalusa, LA, 70427 **Email:** moragnepaul@yahoo.com

Phone: 985-285-6982

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land. Leave the first and second amendments alone.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-x228 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2360 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dr Michael Penley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Dr Michael O. Penley

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-or5j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2361 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronnie Dyer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-qnbr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2364 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Hale

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Phillip Hale

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-ma6b Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2365 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Hale

Address:

1080 Moore Rd NE Floyd, VA, 24091 Email: plhale@swva.net Phone: 5402303464

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Phillip Hale Floyd, VA

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jez-4pkx **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2366 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Carl Pietro III

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jey-edyp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2367 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J G

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jey-z8tu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2369 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jex-rboj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2374 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edmond Finizie

Address:

3020 Country Dancer Ave. North Las Vegas, NV, 89081 **Email:** edfinizie@gmail.com

Phone: 7022172313

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jew-m45u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2376 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jew-9amy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2377 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ed Gillett Address: 218 Tyler dr

Cranberry twp, PA, 16066

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jew-v0o5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2378 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: ANONYMOUS Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jev-cu1c Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2380 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jimmy Betz

General Comment

Dear Sir or Madam:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The Internet shall remain free speech territory.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. Surely you understand that?

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land! My Constitution says so. Check it out. Article Six, Paragraph two.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jev-jizm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2381 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jev-g153 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2382 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jev-fc8k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2384 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Justn Kirk Address: United States,

Email: JustinlKirk2015@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jev-xqtp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2385 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Crump

Address:

Lancaster, OH, 43130

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeu-by84 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2386 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeu-df2z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2387 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeu-3hwu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2390 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Daniel E Warrensford

Address:

40 Uranus Ave

Merritt Island, FL, 32953-3158 **Email:** warrensd@bellsouth.net

Phone: 3214532217

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 14, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeu-w82u Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2391 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Casebeer

Address:

1430 24th ave galva, KS, 67443

Email: capoper@hometelco.net

Phone: 620-334=0788

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Regards,

Charles Casebeer

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeu-e7h9 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2392 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Lewark

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-qtii Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2393 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-t4ih Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2394 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-5p24 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2396 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Toby Scott

Address:

3607 N 1050 W

Pleasant View, UT, 84414 **Email:** tobytscott@yahoo.com

Phone: 801-737-4646

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-b3sj

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2397 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Vincent Andrews

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-i719 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of

Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2398 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: charles casebeer

Address:

1430 24th ave galva, KS, 67443

Email: capoper@hometelco.net

Phone: 620-334-0788

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-2jbt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2399 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-7ede Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2400 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Matthew Kase

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-pbwt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2401 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Pesl

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-9j7k Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2403 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joshua Amundson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jet-5cw0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2404 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Kahn

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you,

Michael Kahn

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-bgc0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2405 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-wjp8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2406 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-gvg0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2409 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Edward Hass

Address:

43329 W. Chisholm Dr Maricopa, AZ, 85138 **Email:** ehass40062@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-9dsa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2411 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-ax9f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2412 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven Tobin

Address:

134 Sinclair St. SE
Port Charlotte, FL, 33952
Email: sltobin@gmail.com
Phone: 309-648-6000

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-sv76 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2413 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Roger M. Foszcz

Address:

910 W. 11th St

Port Angeles, WA, 98363 Email: rvfoz@olypen.com Phone: 360-457-8330

General Comment

To whom it may concern: To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 14, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jes-plyl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2415 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shawn Grammont

Address:

14511 Banquo Terrace Silver Spring, MD, 20906 **Email:** Green17842@msn.com

Phone: 2407010650

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-q856 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2416 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Micah Haber

Address:

10201 Cedar Lake Road

Apt. 303

Minnetonka, MN, 55305 **Email:** micahhaber@gmail.com

Phone: 603-769-9145

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-m047 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2417 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bernard Pavlik

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-7tvf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2418 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-v42j Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2420 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom Newell Address: United States, Email: tn1228@comcast.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-f2jj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2421 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Kenneth Watson

Address:

226 Clark Lane Pikeville, TN, 37367

Email: watsongang@bledsoe.net

Phone: 4232983339

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-2u2j **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2422 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tanya Watson

Address:

226 Clark Lane Pikeville, TN, 37367 **Email:** tanyawatsonp@aol.com

Phone: 4232983336

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-tt3z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2424 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Hancock

Address:

823 Johnson Street Minonk, IL, 61760

Email: paulahancock@hotmail.com

Phone: 3094323398

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Bill Hancock

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-1rlb **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2425 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: T Bill

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-rlbe Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2426 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Notes

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Joseph Notes

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-i03t Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2427 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ricky RedBear

Address:

305 San Jose DR Toledo, 43615

Email: MysticDrkBear@yahoo.com

Phone: 4192149588

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-jvkg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2428 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the current or any future administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-e53t **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2429 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sue Antel

General Comment

To whom it may concern,

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the internet. The new language--which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network (the internet)"--could put

anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on

virtually any firearm and ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government

permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into the regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D

printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-5fzr Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2432 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom Hankins Address:

2314 N. Park Dr. Stillwater, OK, 74075 **Email:** thankins45@yahoo.com

Phone: 405-762-6566

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-mpdo **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2433 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-pfhu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2436 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Antel

General Comment

To whom it may concern;

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the Stats Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the

State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun- related speech on the internet. The new language--which includes "making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the internet)"--

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information

on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government

permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word "software" into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D

printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-sybt Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2437 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: MJ Jens

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

MJ Jens

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jer-epgo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2438 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Terry Trombley

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-dep0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2439 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Don Berry

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-30co

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2440 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marvin Thomey

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-q7x4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2442 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-via9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2443 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-j6on Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2444 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Fallavollita

Address:

PO Box 343

Travelers Rest, SC, 29690 **Email:** pfallavollita@yahoo.com

Phone: 8647874231

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-r8aj Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2446 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Watson Address:

81 Pendleton Lane Londonderry, NH, 03053

Email: watson_gary@comcadt.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-tlxj

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2448 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott C

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The Constitution with the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land.

Thank you for your time.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-mmja Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2451 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Theodore Cahoon

Address:

18585 Montevina Rd. Los Gatos, CA, 95033 **Email:** tjcahoon@yahoo.com

Phone: 408 674-1974

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 13, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-jmhk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2452 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Liggett

Address:

9226 Booth Rd. Mulberry, AR, 72947

Email: ar15deerhunter@hotmail.com

Phone: 479-651-8360

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, William Liggett

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-3y4i **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2453 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ray Taylor

Address:

1275 4th Lane

Vero Beach, FL, 32962

Email: FloydRayTaylor@Gmail.com

Phone: 7725673718

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and CONTROL gun-related SPEECH on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. The First and Second Amendments are the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-c548 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001 International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2454 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Susan Liggett

Address:

9226 Booth Rd. Mulberry, AR, 72947 Email: liggett90@hotmail.com

Phone: 479-651-8360

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Susan Liggett

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-4q50 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2455 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rex Larson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-6bx6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2456 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry DeBoni

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-9mem **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2457 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranTo whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and

Second Amendments are still the law of the land!ging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-n6rl Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2458 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Judith Dollar Address:

20518 Hwy. 74 East Elkins, AR, 72727

Email: jfdollar01@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Judith Dollar

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-1345 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2459 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Bott

Address:

20 Cutlass Rd Kinnelon, NJ, 07405

Email: digger4559@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-tgt3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2460 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-4xlb Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2462 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: roger Anonymous

Address: VA, 22835

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-2kj4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2463 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Larson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-zuco Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2464 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Hoecherl

Address:

3511 Stacy Park Circle Katy, TX, 77449 Email: mchoecherl@att.net

Phone: 7135166532

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Michael C. Hoecherl

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeq-kvb7 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2465 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Penny Dean

Address:

59 Warren Street Concord, NH, 03301 **Phone:** 603-230-9999

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-610o Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2466 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Neuman

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-dpcu **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2467 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chad Lockwood

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-kpek **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2468 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J Barb

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-ioa8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2469 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Dorsey

Address:

1483 Brockton Loop Jefferson, GA, 30549 Email: usafshooter@yahoo.com

Phone: 6786301729

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-hrgc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2470 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-78ow Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2471 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Puma

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-j541 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2472 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-44vg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2473 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bernard F Brzostek Jr

Address:

3752 Harton Rd

Virginia Beach, VA, 23452-3725

Email: thewraith@msn.com Phone: 757-498-0381

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-2r1f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2474 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: JoAnn Hawkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-r6m6 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2475 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stan; ey F. Moskal II, M.S.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-cby1 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2476 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Hawkins

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-ba8l Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2477 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Rich Gaglie

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-xsp0 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2478 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Aaron Dimmette

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-9btg **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2479 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: vincent mikalinis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-d97z Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2480 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-a0hh Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2481 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Richardson

Address:

11 Sunview Circle Arden, NC, 28704 **Email:** jpr9@earthlink.net **Phone:** 8287683520

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. As a blogger, I would be expected to pay a fee and submit my content for pre-approval. This is unacceptable.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-y58y Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2483 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Justin Velasquez

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Justin Velasquez

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-hx15 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2484 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-5ybz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2485 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you otherwise for your service to our nation.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-77sn **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2486 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ed Walters

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-enl4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2487 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-f87n Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2488 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: A Yarbrough

Address:

Dayton, OH, 45419 **Email:** awyarbr@live.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-18qe Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2489 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-n9yf Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2490 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mike Brooks

Address:

4109 North Rd, Geneseo, NY 14454-9410

Geneseo, NY, 14454 **Email:** mrbrooks64@gmail.com

Phone: 585-243-7765

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-ee91 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2492 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Chris Gugumuck

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-wsy1

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2493 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Micolucci

Address:

P.O.Box 324

Beach Lake, PA, 18405-0324 Email: jcmic56@yahoo.com Phone: 570-729-7704

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Mr. Joseph C. Micolucci

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-u5gw Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2494 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Doug Spittler

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jep-wqzo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2495 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Davis

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

sincerely

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-so71 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2497 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Ron

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-7sn9 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2498 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Williams

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet. The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines. So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Scott Williams

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-kcg7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2500 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-xz56 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2501 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Micolucci

Address:

P.O. Box 324

Beach Lake, PA, 18405-0324 **Email:** jcmic56@yahoo.com **Phone:** 570-729-7704

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Mr, Joseph C. Micolucci

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-6rnv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2502 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: James Holinka

Address:

1261 Saddle Dr. Nazareth, PA, 18064 **Phone:** 610-759-4104

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-51vz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2503 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Sam Massenburg

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-7es4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2504 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-xju6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2505 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Francisco DelValle

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-cqet Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2506 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Vassaras

Address:

1015 Royal Ave Franklinville, NJ, 08322

Email: gvassaras@comcast.net

Phone: 8566943584

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-hoxp Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2507 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-u2pt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2508 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joel Turner

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-dvie **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2509 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-1cre **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2510 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dan Cramer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-comj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2512 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom Zane

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-moiy Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2513 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-d4sp **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2514 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: brian flickinger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-8opy **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2515 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ron Lowe

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-3jzz Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2516 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonmous

Address: MT, 59911

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-ou3p Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2517 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Joe Krause

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-c2ty Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2518 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Tipton

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-lj8q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2519 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dwayne Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 **Tracking No.** 1jz-8jeo-n05b

Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2520 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Pandora Barnhart

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 13, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-dh6q Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2521 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J.D. Schechter

Address:

2800 S. Mission Rd.

#15202

Tucson, AZ, 85713

Email: AmericanIcon@cox.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-pylk Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2522 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anna Long

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jeo-2rxn Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2523 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jonathan Bass

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 Received: June 13, 2015

Status: Posted **Posted:** June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1 jz-8 jeo-9 hsa Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and **Related Definitions**

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and **Related Definitions**

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2524 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Randy Coates

Address:

2624 N Clark Bench Rd. Kanab, UT, 84741 Email: rlcoates38@gmail.com

Phone: 435-899-1358

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-4fk4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2526 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Haupt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-qr9e Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2528 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Michael Schmidt

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-biyl Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2529 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Spitzer

Address:

4412 E Mulberry St #372 Fort Collins, CO, 80524 **Email:** paulfish@bajabb.com

Phone: 970-402-2971

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-ajym **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2530 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Raymond McKee

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-hov2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2531 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Timothy Myer

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-wkk6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2532 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

State ----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-v6av Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2533 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Judy Hare

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land

Sincerely,

Judy Hare

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-kcvs **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2534 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Barwin

Address:

P.O. Box 4273 Muskegon, MI, 49444 **Email:** rbarwin@frontier.com

Phone: 231-733-9546

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-pb02 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2535 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: J Craig Manion

Address:

873 Greenwich Place The Villages, FL, 32163 **Email:** jcraigm@earthlink.net

Phone: 407-366-6506

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-fvf9
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2536 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Steven O'Harra

Address:

3595 Quail View St. Spring Valley, CA, 91977 **Email:** steven oharra@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-hjsg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2537 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: christopher voorhees

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-dyq3 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2538 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-uyop Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2539 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ed Miller Address:

P O Box 115

Hudson, IN, 46747-0115

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-ba9l Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2541 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Fyffe

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Jeffrey H Fyffe

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-bw5f Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2543 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mikel Conner

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-scl2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2544 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Stephen Lorson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

In addition, I took an oath many years ago to defend the Constitution from all enemies foreign and DOMESTIC. I take any encroachment on the Bill of Rights very seriously.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-dits Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2546 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-rm2h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2547 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Shannon Baker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-p79h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2550 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Thank you.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-dhrr Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related

Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2551 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: John Grunwald

Address:

15227 Windy Cove Dr Houston, TX, 77095 Email: nev-r-est@sbcglobal.net

Phone: 713-859-5815

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, The CONSTITUTION including the First and Second Amendments is still the law of the land!

John Grunwald

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-ylk5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2553 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: C C

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-6i7x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2554 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jamie Frank

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-kmgo Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2555 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tony Karian

Address:

4863 Aurora Drive Ventura, 93003-3901

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-zqoq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2556 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ethan Hall

Address:

7624 Wellcrest Dallas, TX, 75230

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-6qqh **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2558 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ron Bailey

Address:

195 Fox Run Dr Pikeville, NC, 27863 Email: rnldlbl@aol.com Phone: 9193425496

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-mn04 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2559 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: thomas palethorpe

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jen-u6km Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2560 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Cole Pugh

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-2f4h Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2561 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-hwju **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2562 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: DML REILLY

Address:

135 NORTH ST

SHELBURNE FALLS, MA, 01370

Email: rkangel777@yahoo.com

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-3a7v **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2563 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Cataldi

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-9rji Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2564 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Charles Shetron

Address:

2148 Palomino Way Oakdale, CA, 95361 Email: c.shetron@comcast.net

Phone: 510-406-0344

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-y5t2 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2565 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Errol Thomason

Address:

651 N Soboba St Hemet, CA, 92544

Email: errol.thomason@yahoo.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-nqly Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2566 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dave Farrell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-wozu Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2567 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: steve fletcher

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-liaq
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2568 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Marcus Cervantes

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Marcus S. Cervantes

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-ca73 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2569 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Richard Connelly

Address:

701 West Castleberry Rd

Artesia, NM,

Email: rdcguns@gmail.com Phone: 575-308-3331

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Also, as a small business gunsmith, I have forgone becoming a manufacture due the State Department's current requirement of manufactures registering with ITAR for \$2500.00 per year. This is an unnecessary expense for a small firearms manufacturing business that does not intend to export any firearms. Please consider changing this requirement so that small business can thrive.

Richard Connelly

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-gzha Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2570 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Address:

2371 sarus crane dr Clinton, UT,

Email: Bubbshandy@gmail.com

Phone: 80177587000

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-tlkh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2572 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Gary HARPER

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-o9ht Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2574 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bruce McCloud

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-26cj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2575 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bryan Rictelli

Address:

4 Walden Dr

Annandale, NJ, 08801 **Email:** Brianriccit@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-rhv5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2576 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Paluke Morgan

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land despite Obama's attempt to nullify them.

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-2hi8 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2577 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ralph T. DeAngelo

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-185r Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2579 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jason Demnicki

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

Attachments

1 stay armed

Armanian Genocide

black names to know

armed_citizen

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-88n0 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2580 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Peter Giger

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-gmm5 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2581 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phillip Baker

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-qark
Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2582 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Zink

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-nck4 **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2583 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Dusty Weaver

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jem-5ndv **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2584 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Wright

Address:

415 Meringer Place Simpsonville, 29680-7271 **Email:** drngo@aol.com **Phone:** 8649625430

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-atze Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2586 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Campbell

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

Robert Stephen Campbell

Rochester Hills, MI 48309-4315

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-jjqy **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2587 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Larry Farris

Address:

1709 Chessington Ln McKinney, TX, 75070 **Email:** larrysfarris@gmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-w7ll Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2588 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Bret Hughes

General Comment

I totally oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-xwul Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2589 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Nathan Potts

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-2dns Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2591 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: David Shrewsberry

General Comment

o whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-3lwq Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2592 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Scot Villwock

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-cvzm Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2594 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-ildj Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2595 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ron Miller

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-kmub **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2596 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Robert Wilson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Regards, Robert Wilson

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-renr

Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2598 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: jim steck Address:

8906 Darke County Line Brookville, OH, 45309 **Email:** jim@new-rims.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-wv9e **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2599 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Phyllis Pryor

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-rsnh Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2601 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas G. Hull

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-q4i6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2602 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-f2cv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2603 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Jeffrey Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-g4ya Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2604 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Tom R.

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-4ry4 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2605 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Lorraine Baus

General Comment

---- Pre-written letter to the Department of State ----

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-mull Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2606 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Terry Carlson

General Comment

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State

Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., "the Internet) --

could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not

only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety.

Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-rlvv Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2608 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Adam C Lucas

Address:

11625 Moyer Rd Webberville, MI, 48892 **Email:** acl45acp@gmail.com

Phone: 5174683216

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-zwzt Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2609 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-dzqc Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2610 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: john fyock Address:

322 s locust acme, PA, 15610

Email: davefyock@hotmail.com

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-a4ea **Comments Due:** August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2611 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-koj6 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2613 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: George Hill

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-pg6d Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2614 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Ken Eggleston

Address:

160 Holmes Rd Argyle, NY, 12809 **Email:** kndegg@hvwisp.net

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted Posted: June 15, 2015

Category: NA

Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-pqcb Comments Due: August 03, 2015 Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2615 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Herbert Woodbury

Address:

9204 Bellagio Rd Santee, CA, 92071 Email: woodburyhl@cox.net Phone: 619-889-9204

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. Something as simple as a discussion about ammunition types and brands or mechanical/technical problems with a firearm means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-6p8x Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2616 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: William Gemmell Address: United States,

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-y0yg Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2617 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely, Charles Mc Glynn

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jel-ewn7 Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2618 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

Let's use some good common sense on these new ITAR regulations. I'm a competitive shooter and rely on sharing gunsmithing tips, reloading data, shooting gear information and reviews on the internet. I'm a hard working railroad employee and pay more than my fair share of taxes. I realize, somehow you're scared of people 3D printing guns. Are you aware that it's perfectly legal for an individual to hand craft their own firearms? Granted, a felon should not be allowed to purchase or possess a firearm, but do you really think you can stop that felon from making a gun, bomb or any other sort of destructive device? Stop stomping on the rights of law abiding citizens trying to control those who are not. Go after the disease and quit trying to cure the symptoms at every law abiding citizen's expense. Making it illegal for us, in the shooting community, to share information about shooting, gun cleaning, scope calibrating, gun accessories and so on is absolutely ludicrous. It's a violation of my first amendment right and clearly a back door to restrict my second amendment right.

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jek-ff6g Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2620 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring, not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant criminal penalties.

I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers.

I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

Sincerely,

As of: August 04, 2015 **Received:** June 13, 2015

Status: Posted

Posted: June 15, 2015 Tracking No. 1jz-8jek-49ok Comments Due: August 03, 2015

Submission Type: Web

Docket: DOS-2015-0023

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Comment On: DOS-2015-0023-0001

International Traffic in Arms: Definitions of Defense Services, Technical Data, and Public Domain; Definition of Product of Fundamental Research; Electronic Transmission and Storage of Technical Data; and Related Definitions

Document: DOS-2015-0023-2621 Comment on DOS-2015-0023-0001

Submitter Information

Name: Greg Thompson

General Comment

To whom it may concern:

I strongly oppose the rewrite of the State Departments arms control regulations (ITAR), which could potentially

grant the State Department a wide-ranging power to monitor and control gun-related speech on the Internet.

The new language -- which includes making technical data available via a publicly available network (e.g., the Internet) -- could put anyone who violates this provision in danger of facing decades in prison and massive fines.

So posting information on virtually any firearm or ammunition could be defined by the Obama administration as requiring,

not only government permission, but potentially a government license. This means violators would potentially face significant

criminal penalties. I also oppose the addition of the word software into these regulations, as it appears to be a

not-so-veiled effort to ban 3-D printers. I urge you to repeal these new regulations in their entirety. Whether you like it

or not, the First and Second Amendments are still the law of the land!

TSgt. Greg Thompson, USAF (Ret.)